

## Homework 2 Solution

February 26th

### Exercises from the book

**Exercise 1.26** We have

$$\mathbb{P}(G|T)\mathbb{P}(T) = \mathbb{P}(G \cap T) = \mathbb{P}(T|G)\mathbb{P}(G).$$

Hence

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(G|T)}{\mathbb{P}(T|G)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(G)}{\mathbb{P}(T)}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}(G|T) = \mathbb{P}(T|G) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(G) = \mathbb{P}(T).$$

**Exercise 1.27** Let  $U_k$  denote the event “we pick the  $k$ th urn” and  $G$  the event “the second ball we sample is green”. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(G) &= \mathbb{P}(G|U_1)\underbrace{\mathbb{P}(U_1)}_{1/20} + \mathbb{P}(G|U_2)\underbrace{\mathbb{P}(U_2)}_{1/20} + \cdots + \mathbb{P}(G|U_{20})\underbrace{\mathbb{P}(U_{20})}_{1/20} \\ &= \frac{1}{20} \left( \mathbb{P}(G|U_1) + \cdots + \mathbb{P}(G|U_{20}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the  $k$ th urn contains  $20 - k$  green balls and  $k - 1$  red balls. Thus, when we take two samples without replacement from the  $k$ th urn the probability that the second ball is green is

$$\frac{(k-1)(20-k) + (20-k)(19-k)}{19 \cdot 18} = \frac{(20-k)18}{19 \cdot 18} = \frac{20-k}{19}.$$

Note that this is in accordance with the result we have seen in class, that sampling two balls and looking at the colour of the second, disregarding the first, will not change the probabilities when compared to just sampling one ball.

We deduce

$$\mathbb{P}(G) = \frac{1}{20} \cdot \frac{19 + 18 + \cdots + 1 + 0}{19} = \frac{\frac{19 \cdot 20}{2}}{20 \cdot 19} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Exercise 1.29** (a) The two rolls are independent events. If the first roll is 1, then the largest number will be equal to the number we get at the second roll. The probability that this number is 3 is 1 in 6.

(b) The probability that the largest number is 3 is equal to the probability that the second roll gives a number  $\leq 3$ . This probability is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Exercise 1.30** Write  $A'_k$  for the probability that the second die rolls on a  $k$ . We have  $\mathbb{P}(A_k) = \frac{1}{6}$ , hence

$$\mathbb{P}(B_n \cap A_k) = \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A'_{n-k}) = (A'_{n-k}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_k) = \frac{1}{6}\mathbb{P}(A_{n-k}),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(B_n)\mathbb{P}(A_k) = \frac{1}{6}\mathbb{P}(B_n).$$

Thus, the events  $A_k$  and  $B_n$  are independent if

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{n-k}) = \mathbb{P}(B_n).$$

Observe that if  $n \leq k$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(A_{n-k}) = 0$  so the events  $B_n$  and  $A_k$  are not independent. If  $n > k$ , then  $B_n$  is independent of  $A_k$  if

$$\mathbb{P}(B_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_{n-k}) = \frac{1}{6}.$$

This is possible only if  $n = 7$ . Thus  $A_k$  is independent of  $B_n$  if and only  $n = 7$ , for any  $1 \leq k \leq 6$ .

**Exercise 1.34** Denote by  $N_k$  the event “the number  $k$  does not appear during 3 successive rolls of the die”. We are interested in the probability  $\mathbb{P}(N_5|N_6)$ . We have

$$\mathbb{P}(N_5|N_6) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(N_5 \cap N_6)}{\mathbb{P}(N_6)}.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{P}(N_6) = \frac{5^3}{6^3}, \quad \mathbb{P}(N_5 \cap N_6) = \frac{4^3}{6^3},$$

so

$$\mathbb{P}(N_5|N_6) = \frac{4^3}{5^3} = \frac{64}{125} = 0.512.$$

**Exercise 1.36** Write  $A_i$  (resp.  $B_i$ ,  $AB_i$ ,  $O_i$ ) for the event that the individual  $i$  has blood type A (resp. B, AB, O), and  $\oplus_i$  (resp.  $\ominus_i$ ) for the event that their Rh factor is positive (resp. negative). For the combined events, we will write for instance  $A_i^-$  for  $A_i \cap \ominus_i$ , and similarly for all blood types.

(i)

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1^- \cap A_2^-) = \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap \ominus_1)^2 = (\mathbb{P}(A_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\ominus_1))^2 = (0.4 \times 0.16)^2 \approx 0.0041.$$

(ii)

$$\mathbb{P}(O_i^+) = \mathbb{P}(O_i \cap \oplus_i) = 0.45 \cdot 0.84 = 0.378,$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left((O_i^+)^c\right) = 1 - 0.378 = 0.622.$$

The probability of the event described in the question is

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left(O_1^+ \cap (O_2^+)^c\right) \cup \left((O_1^+)^c \cap O_2^+\right)\right).$$

Because this union is disjoint, the probability is in fact equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(O_1^+ \cap (O_2^+)^c\right) + \mathbb{P}\left((O_1^+)^c \cap O_2^+\right) &= 2\mathbb{P}(O_1^+) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left((O_2^+)^c\right) \\ &= 2 \cdot 0.378 \cdot 0.622 \\ &\approx 0.470. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\mathbb{P}(O_1^+ \cup O_2^+) = 1 - \mathbb{P}\left((O_1^+)^c \cap (O_2^+)^c\right) = 1 - 0.622^2 \approx 0.613.$$

(iv) Denote by  $E$  the event of interest: “one person is Rh positive and the other is not AB”. Then

$$E = \underbrace{(\oplus_1 \cap (AB_2)^c)}_{E_1} \cup \underbrace{(\oplus_2 \cap (AB_1)^c)}_{E_2}.$$

Let us transform ‘or’ statements into ‘and’ statements (note that the Rh factors and groups of the individuals are 4 independent variables):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(E) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(E_1^c \cap E_2^c) \\ &= 1 - (1 - \mathbb{P}(E_1))(1 - \mathbb{P}(E_2)) \\ &= 1 - \left(1 - \mathbb{P}(\oplus_1) \cdot (1 - \mathbb{P}(AB_2))\right)^2 \\ &= 2\mathbb{P}(\oplus_1)(1 - \mathbb{P}(AB_2)) - \left(\mathbb{P}(\oplus_1)(1 - \mathbb{P}(AB_2))\right)^2 \\ &= 2(0.96 \cdot 0.84) - (0.96 \cdot 0.84)^2 \\ &\approx 0.9625. \end{aligned}$$

(v) The probability is

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1)^2 + \mathbb{P}(B_1)^2 + \mathbb{P}(O_1)^2 + \mathbb{P}(AB_1)^2 = (0.4)^2 + (0.11)^2 + (0.45)^2 + (0.04)^2 = 0.3762.$$

(vi) The event that they have different Rh factors (written  $R_{\neq}$ ) is independent of the event that they have equal ABO type (written  $ABO_{=}$ , and whose probability we computed above). The probability must then be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(R_{\neq}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(ABO_{=}) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\oplus_1 \cap \ominus_2\right) \cup \left(\ominus_1 \cap \oplus_2\right)\right) \cdot \mathbb{P}(ABO_{=}) \\ &= 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}(\oplus_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\ominus_2) \cdot \mathbb{P}(ABO_{=}) \\ &= 2 \cdot 0.84 \cdot 0.16 \cdot 0.3762 \\ &\approx 0.101. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 1.39** Denote by  $C \rightarrow S$  the event “the luggage is missing in Sidney”, by  $C \rightarrow LA$  the event “the luggage was lost between O’Hare and LAX” (mishandled in O’Hare) and by  $LA \rightarrow S$  the event “the luggage was lost between LAX and Sydney” (mishandled at LAX). We use  $\rightarrow$  instead of  $\rightarrow$  for their complements. We know that for  $p = 1\%$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow LA) = p, \quad \mathbb{P}(LA \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow LA) = p.$$

(i) We want to compute  $\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow LA | C \rightarrow S)$ . We have

$$\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow LA | C \rightarrow S) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow LA) \mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow LA)}{\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow S)},$$

$$\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow S) = \mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow LA) \mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow LA) + \mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow LA) \mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow LA).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow LA) = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow LA) = \mathbb{P}(LA \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow LA) = p$ . Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow S) = p + p(1 - p),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow LA | C \rightarrow S) = \frac{p}{p + p(1 - p)} = \frac{1}{2 - p} \approx 0.5025.$$

(ii) We have

$$1 = \mathbb{P}(LA \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow S) + \mathbb{P}(C \rightarrow LA | C \rightarrow S)$$

so that

$$\mathbb{P}(LA \rightarrow S | C \rightarrow S) = 1 - \frac{1}{2 - p} \approx 0.4975.$$

## Exercise 1

It is not possible for the dog to eat the couch right away. So the probability that your dog ate the couch is the sum of the probability that (1) it ate it as a second activity, or (2) it ate it as a third activity, but did not as a second activity (note that these events are disjoint). Moreover, if it ate the couch at some step, then it must have watched out the window just before; the total probability is in fact the sum of the probability that (1) it watched out the window right away then ate the couch directly after, and (2) it did some activity, then watched out the window, then ate the couch. There are only two activities that can both be a first activity and lead to watching out the window: having a nap, or playing. Hence (2) decomposes as (2a) have a nap then watch out the window then eat the couch, and (2b) play then watch out the window then eat the couch.

Let us write  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  for the first, second and third activities. What we just discussed rewrites as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\text{eventually ate the couch}) &= \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{window} \cap A_2 = \text{couch}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{nap} \cap A_2 = \text{window} \cap A_3 = \text{couch}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{play} \cap A_2 = \text{window} \cap A_3 = \text{couch}). \end{aligned}$$

The first probability is

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{window} \cap A_2 = \text{couch}) = \mathbb{P}(A_2 = \text{couch} | A_1 = \text{window}) \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{window}) = \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{30}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{nap} \cap A_2 = \text{window} \cap A_3 = \text{couch}) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}(A_3 = \text{couch} \mid A_1 = \text{nap} \cap A_2 = \text{window}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{nap} \cap A_2 = \text{window}) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}(A_3 = \text{couch} \mid A_2 = \text{window}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_2 = \text{window} \mid A_1 = \text{nap}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{nap}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{90}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{play} \cap A_2 = \text{window} \cap A_3 = \text{couch}) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}(A_3 = \text{couch} \mid A_1 = \text{play} \cap A_2 = \text{window}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{play} \cap A_2 = \text{window}) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}(A_3 = \text{couch} \mid A_2 = \text{window}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_2 = \text{window} \mid A_1 = \text{play}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_1 = \text{play}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{90}
 \end{aligned}$$

We find

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{eventually ate the couch}) = \frac{1}{30} + \frac{1}{90} + \frac{1}{90} = \frac{1}{18}.$$

## Exercise 2

Write  $O_1^S$  for the event “OK is sent for the first chamber”,  $E_2^R$  for “Error is received for the second chamber”, and similarly for all other combinations.

1. Because the chambers and transmissions are independent, the probability is the square of the probability

$$\mathbb{P}(O_1^R \mid O_1^S).$$

The answer must then be

$$\mathbb{P}(O_1^R \mid O_1^S)^2 = 0.99^2 = 0.9801.$$

2. (a)

$$\mathbb{P}(O_1^S \cap E_2^S) = \mathbb{P}(O_1^S) \cdot \mathbb{P}(E_2^S) = \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{4}{5} = \frac{4}{25}.$$

- (b) This is a classic application of the Bayes law:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(E_1^S \mid O_1^R) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(O_1^R \mid E_1^S) \cdot \mathbb{P}(E_1^S)}{\mathbb{P}(O_1^R)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(O_1^R \mid E_1^S) \cdot \mathbb{P}(E_1^S)}{\mathbb{P}(O_1^R \mid E_1^S) \cdot \mathbb{P}(E_1^S) + \mathbb{P}(O_1^R \mid O_1^S) \cdot \mathbb{P}(O_1^S)} \\
 &= \frac{0.01 \cdot 0.2}{0.01 \cdot 0.2 + 0.99 \cdot 0.8} = \frac{1}{397} \approx 0.265\%.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) We make full use of the independence of the two chambers:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(E_1^S \cup E_2^S \mid O_1^R \cap O_2^R) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(O_1^S \cap O_2^S \mid O_1^R \cap O_2^R) \\
 &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(O_1^S \mid O_1^R)^2 \\
 &= 1 - \left(1 - \mathbb{P}(E_1^S \mid O_1^R)\right)^2 \\
 &= 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{397}\right)^2 \approx 0.503\%.
 \end{aligned}$$